

# Well Posedness of Fixed Point Problem in Compact Metric Spaces

Valeriu Popa

Universitatea din Bacău, Str. Spiru Haret nr. 8, 600114, Bacău  
e-mail: vpopa@ub.ro

## Abstract

*The notion of well-posedness of a fixed point problem has generated much interest to a several mathematicians, for examples, F.S. De Blassi and J. Myjak (1989), S. Reich and A. J. Zaslavski (2001), B. K. Lahiri and P. Das (2005). Also, in 2003, V. Popa introduced some fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying a new type of implicit relation. The purpose of this paper is to prove for mappings satisfying a new type of implicit relation in a compact metric space, that fixed point problem is well-posed.*

**Key words:** *well posedness, compact metric space, fixed point, implicit relation*

## Introduction

The notion of well posedness of a fixed point problem has generated much interest to a several mathematicians, for examples [2, 4, 8].

**Definition 1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $f: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  a mapping. The fixed point problem of  $f$  is said to be well posed if:

- $f$  has a unique fixed point  $x_0$  in  $X$ ;
- for any sequence  $\{x_n\} \in X : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, f x_n) = 0$  we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_0) = 0$ .

The notion of contractive mapping has been introduced by Banach in [1]. In the last thirty years there have appeared different types of generalizations of this concept.

The connection between them has been studied in different papers [3, 5, 9, 12].

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $T: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  be a mapping. In essence,  $T$  is a generalized contraction if a inequality of type

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq f(d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)) \quad (1)$$

holds for  $x, y \in X$ , where  $f: \mathbf{R}^5 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  satisfies some properties or has a special form. In [6], the author established a class of mappings  $f: \mathbf{R}_+^6 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that fulfillment of the inequality of type

$$F(d(Tx, Ty), d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)) \leq 0 \quad (2)$$

for  $x, y \in X$  ensures the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point for  $T$ .

Recently [7], the present author established two classes of mappings  $F, G: \mathbf{R}_+^6 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that the fulfillment of the inequality of type

$$F(d(Tx, Ty), d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(y, T_x^2), d(y, Tx)) \leq 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\text{or } G(d(Tx, Ty), d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, T_y^2), d(x, Ty)) \leq 0 \text{ for } x, y \in X \quad (4)$$

ensures the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point for  $T$ .

The purpose of this paper is to introduced a new class of mappings  $F, G: \mathbf{R}_+^6 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that the fulfillment of the inequality of type

$$F(d(Tx, Ty), d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(y, T_x^2), d(Tx, T_x^2)) \leq 0 \text{ for } x, y \in X, \quad (5)$$

ensures the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point of  $T$  and to prove for mappings  $T$  satisfying an implicit relation of type (5) in a compact metric space that the fixed point problem is a well-posed.

## Implicit Relations

Let  $F(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_6): \mathbf{R}_+^6 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a continuous mapping. We define the following properties:

(F<sub>i</sub>): For every  $u \geq 0, v > 0, F(u, v, v, u, u, u) < 0$  implies  $u < v$ ,

(F<sub>u</sub>):  $F(t, t, 0, 0, t, 0) > 0, \forall t > 0$ ,

(F<sub>p</sub>): There exists  $p \in (0, 1)$  such that for every  $u \geq 0, v > 0, w \geq 0$  with  $F(u, v, o, w, v, o) < 0$  we have  $u < p \max\{v, w\}$ .

**Example 1.**  $F(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_6) = t_1 - a_1 t_2 - a_2 t_3 - a_3 t_4 - a_4 t_5 - a_5 t_6,$ ,

where  $a_1 > 0, a_2, \dots, a_5 \geq 0$  and  $0 < a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_5 < 1$ .

(F<sub>i</sub>): Let  $u \geq 0, v > 0$  and  $F(u, v, v, u, u, u) = u - a_1 v - a_2 v - a_3 u - a_4 u - a_5 u < 0$ .

Then  $u < \frac{a_1 + a_2}{1 - (a_3 + a_4 + a_5)} v < v$ .

(F<sub>u</sub>):  $F(t, t, 0, 0, t, 0) = t(1 - a_1 - a_4) > 0, \forall t > 0$ .

(F<sub>p</sub>): Let  $u \geq o, v > o, w \geq o$  and  $F(u, v, o, w, v, o) = u - a_1 v - a_3 w - a_4 v < o$ .

Then  $u < a_1 v + a_3 w + a_4 v \leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_4) \max\{v, w\}$ . Therefore,  $u < p \max\{v, w\}$ , where  $0 < p = a_1 + a_3 + a_4 < 1$ .

**Example 2.**  $F(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_6) = t_1 - c \max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, \frac{t_5 + t_6}{2}\}$ , where  $0 < c < 1$ .

(F<sub>i</sub>): Let  $u \geq 0, v > 0$  and  $F(u, v, v, u, u, u) = u - c \max\{u, v\} < 0$ . If  $u > 0$  and  $u \geq v$  then  $u(1 - c) < 0$ , a contradiction. Hence  $u < v$ . If  $u = 0$  then  $u < v$ .

(F<sub>u</sub>):  $F(t, t, 0, 0, t, 0) = t(1 - c) > 0, \forall t > 0$ .

(F<sub>p</sub>): Let  $F(u, v, w, v, 0) = u - c \max\{v, w\} < 0$ , which implies  $u < p \max\{v, w\}$ , where  $0 < p = c < 1$ .

**Example 3.**  $F(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_6) = t_1^3 - a t_1^2 t_2 - b t_1 t_2^2 - c t_2 t_3 t_4 - d t_5^2 t_6$  where  $a, b, c, d > 0$  and  $a + b + c + d < 1$ .

(F<sub>i</sub>): Let  $u > 0, v >$  and  $F(u, v, v, u, u, u) = u^3 - a^2 v - b u v^2 - c v^2 u - d u^3 < 0$ , which implies  $u^2(1 - d) - a u v - v^2(b + c) < 0$ , then  $f(t) = (b + c)t^2 + at - (1 - d) < 0$ , where  $t = \frac{v}{u}$ . Since  $f(1) = (a + b + c + d) + 1 < 0$ , let  $r > 1$  be the root of equation  $f(t) = 0$ .

Then  $f(t) > 0$  for  $t > 0$ , which implies  $u < \frac{1}{r} v < v$ .

If  $u = 0$ , then  $u < v$ .

(F<sub>u</sub>):  $F(t, t, 0, 0, t, 0) = t(1 - a - b) > 0, \forall t > 0$ .

(F<sub>p</sub>): Let  $u > 0, v > 0, w > 0$  and  $F(u, v, 0, w, v, 0) = u^3 - au^2v - buv^2 < 0$ , which implies  $f(t) = bt^2 + at - 1 > 0$ , where  $t = \frac{v}{u}$ . Since  $f(1) = a + b - 1 < 0$ , let  $r \geq 1$  be the root of equation  $f(t) = 0$ . Then  $f(t) > 0$  for  $t > r$ , which implies  $u \leq pv \leq p \max\{v, w\}$ , where  $p = \frac{1}{r} < 1$ . If  $u = 0$  then  $u < p \max\{v, w\}$ .

**Example 4.**  $F(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_6) = t_1^2 - at_2^2 - \frac{bt_5t_6}{1+t_3+t_4}$ , where  $a, b > 0$  and  $a + b < 1$ .

(F<sub>i</sub>): Let  $u \geq 0, v > 0$  and  $F(u, v, v, u, u, u) = u^2 - av^2 - b\frac{u^2}{1+u+v} < 0$ .

Then  $u^2 - av^2 - bu^2 < 0$ , which implies  $u < \frac{a}{1-b}v < v$ .

(F<sub>u</sub>):  $F(t, t, 0, 0, t, 0) = t^2(1 - a) > 0, \forall t > 0$ .

(F<sub>p</sub>): Let  $u \geq 0, v > 0, w \geq 0$  and  $F(u, v, 0, w, v, 0) = u^2 - av^2 < 0$ , which implies  $u < \sqrt{a}v \leq p \max\{v, w\}$ , where  $p = \sqrt{a} < 1$ .

**Example 5.**  $F(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_6) = t_1^2 - t_1(at_2 + bt_3 + ct_4) - dt_5t_6$ , where  $a > 0, b, c, d \geq 0$  and  $a + b + c + d < 1$ .

(F<sub>i</sub>): Let  $u > 0, v > 0$  and  $F(u, v, v, u, u, u) = u^2 - u(av + bv + cu) - dv^2 < 0$ . Then  $u < \frac{a+b}{1-c-d}v < v$ . If  $u = 0$ , then  $u < v$ .

(F<sub>u</sub>):  $F(t, t, 0, 0, t, 0) = t^2(1 - a) > 0, \forall t > 0$ .

(F<sub>p</sub>): Let  $u > 0, v > 0, w \geq 0$  and  $F(u, v, 0, w, v, 0) = u^2 - u(av + cw) < 0$ .

Then  $u < av + cw \leq (a + c) \max\{v, w\}$ . Hence  $u < p \max\{v, w\}$ , where  $0 < p = a + c < 1$ . If  $u = 0$ , then  $u < p \max\{v, w\}$ .

## Main Results

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $T: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  be a mapping satisfying inequality (5) for every  $x \neq y$ , where  $F$  satisfies the condition (F<sub>u</sub>). Then  $T$  has at most one fixed point.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $T$  have two fixed points,  $u$  and  $v$  with  $u \neq v$ . Then, by inequality (5) we have

$$F(d(Tu, Tv), d(u, v), d(u, Tu), d(v, Tv), d(v, T^2u), d(Tu, T^2u)) < 0,$$

$$F(d(u, v), d(u, v), 0, 0, d(u, v), 0) < 0.$$

A contradiction of (F<sub>u</sub>).

**Theorem 2.** Let  $T$  be a continuous mapping of the compact metric space  $(X, d)$  into itself such that the inequality (5) holds for every  $x \neq y$ , where  $F$  satisfies the condition (F<sub>i</sub>) and (F<sub>u</sub>). Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

**Proof.** Let  $f(x) = d(x, Tx)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Since  $T$  is continuous,  $f$  is continuous. There exists a point  $z \in X$  such that  $f(z) = \inf\{f(x) : x \in X\}$ . Suppose that  $z \neq Tz$ . Then by inequality (5) for  $x = z$  and  $y = Tz$  we obtain

$$F(d(Tz, T^2z), d(z, Tz), d(z, Tz), d(Tz, T^2z), d(Tz, T^2z), d(Tz, T^2z)) < 0,$$

which implies by (F<sub>i</sub>) that  $d(Tz, T^2z) < d(Tz, z) = \inf\{d(x, Tx) : x \in X\}$ , a contradiction. Hence  $z = Tz$ . By Theorem 1,  $z$  is the unique fixed point of  $T$ .

**Remark 1.** From examples 1-5 we obtain five fixed point theorems.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $T$  be a continuous mapping of the compact metric space  $(X, d)$  into itself such that the inequality (5) holds for every  $x \neq y$ , where  $T$  satisfies the properties  $(F_i)$ ,  $(F_u)$  and  $(F_p)$ . Then the fixed point problem of  $T$  is well-posed.

**Proof.** By Theorem 2,  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $x_0$ , i.e.  $x_0 = Tx_0$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that  $d(x_n, Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then by inequality (3.1) we have successively

$$F(d(Tx_0, Tx_n), d(x_0, x_n), d(x_0, Tx_0), d(x_n, Tx_n), d(x_n, T^2x_0), d(Tx_0, T^2x_0)) < 0,$$

$$F(d(x_0, Tx_n), d(x_0, x_n), 0, d(x_n, Tx_n), d(x_n, x_0), 0) < 0.$$

By  $(F_p)$  we have  $d(x_0, Tx_n) < p \max\{d(x_0, x_n), d(x_n, Tx_n)\} \leq p(d(x_0, x_n) + d(x_n, Tx_n))$ .

Therefore  $d(x_0, x_n) \leq d(x_0, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, x_n) < p(d(x_0, x_n) + d(x_n, Tx_n)) + d(x_n, Tx_n)$ .

Which implies  $d(x_0, x_n) < \frac{1+p}{1-p} d(x_n, Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$  if  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This proves the theorem.

## References

1. Banach, S. - Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux equations integrales, *Fundamenta Mathematica* (3), pp. 133-181, 1922
2. De Blassi, F.S., Myjak, J. - Sur la porosite des contractions sans point fixe, *Comptes Rendus Académie Sciences Paris*, (308), pp. 51-54, 1989
3. Kincses, J., Totik, V. - Theorems and counter-examples on contractive mappings, *Mathematica Balcanca*, 4, pp. 69-90, 1990
4. Lahiri, B.K., Das, P. - Well-posednes and porosity of certain classes of operators, *Demonstratio Mathematica* (38), pp. 170-176, 2005
5. Park, S. - On general contractive type contractions, *Journal Korean Mathematics Society*, 17, pp. 131-140, 1980
6. Popa, V. - Fixed point theorems for implicit contractive mappings, *Studii și Cercetări Științifice, Seria Matematică, Universitatea Bacău*, (7), pp. 129-133, 1997
7. Popa, V. - On some fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying a new type of implicit relation, *Mathematica Moravica*, (7), pp. 61-66, 2003
8. Reich, S., Zaslavski, A.J. - Well-posednes of fixed point problems, *Far East Journal Mathematical Sciences*, Special volume 2001, Part III, pp. 393-401, 2001
9. Rhoades, B.E. - A comparision of various definitions of contractive mappings, *Translation American Mathematical Society*, 26, pp. 255-290, 1971
10. Rhoades, B.E. - A collection of contractiv definitions, *Mathematical Seminar Notes*, 7, pp. 229-235, 1979
11. Rhoades, B.E. - Contractive definitions, *Non Linear Analysis Ed. T. M. Rassias, World Scientific Publishing Company*, New Jersey, pp. 513-526, 1988
12. Tasković, M.R. - Some new principles in fixed point theory, *Mathematica Japonica*, 35, pp. 645-666, 1990

## O bună punere a problemei de punct fix în spații metrice compacte

### Rezumat

Noțiunea de bună-punere a problemei de punct fix a fost studiată cu mult interes de mai mulți matematicieni, de exemplu F. S. De Blassi și J. Myjak (în 1989), S. Reich și J. Zalavski (în 2001), B. K. Lahiri și P. Das (în 2005). De asemenea, în 2003 V. Popa a introdus un nou tip de relație implicită. Scopul lucrării de față este de a demonstra pentru aplicații ce satisfac un nou tip de relație implicită că problema de punct fix este bine-pusă.